P. 01/03 RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN I 8 2005

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION TO USPTO

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING FACSIMILE TRANSMITTED TO THE USPTO ON THE DATE SHOWN BELOW:

Date of Transmission: January 18, 2005 Name of Person Making Transmission: Teri McDonald

Simature.

ibus McDuld 1/18/05

DOCUMENT(S) FAXED:

PAGES ATTACHED: 2

Re Applic of
Docket No.
Serial No.
Filing Date
Attorney

Joel P. DeSouza

FIS920030091US1

10/604,146

6/27/03

H. Daniel Schnurmann

Attached: Response to Office Communication

PLEASE DELIVER TO:

EXAMINER: Jack S. J. Chen

ART UNIT: 2813

PHONE NO:

FAX NO:

703-872-9306

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS TO THE RIGHT VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

Intellectual Property Law East Fishkill Facility 2070 Route 52 Hopewell Junction New York 12533-6531

> Pax: 845-892-6363 Phone: 845-894-2580

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING SENT VIA Facsimile Transmission to:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS, Alexandria VA. 22313, on January 18, 2005 by: Teri McDonald

AN I & 2005

Signature & Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
In re application of: Joel P. De Souza et al.	Date: January 18, 2005
Serial Number: 10/604,146	Examiner: Jack S J Chen
Filed: 6/27/03	Group Art Unit: 2813
Title: A Methodof forming Silicon-on-Insulator Wafers naving Process Resistant Applications	IBM Corporation D/18G, B/300, Zip 482 2070 Route 52 Hopewell Junction, NY 12533-6531

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated December 28, 2004.

The Examiner states in the Office Action that Applicants' reply to the Restriction Requirement was not fully responsive because Applicants elected Species I (Figure 3) but did not identify which claims read on the elected species.

In a Telephone Interview conducted on January 10, 2005 with the Examiner, Applicants' representative stated the no specific claims was elected in the Response to the Restriction Requirement because Applicants believe that <u>Claim 1 is generic and applies to all the species listed by the Examiner</u>. To prove this assertion, Applicants'

representative recited word by word Claim 1 to the Examiner and matched this recitation to the three Figs. 3, 4 and 5.

In response, the Examiner stated that he wanted to study Applicants' assertion to determine its validity.

Notwithstanding the aforementioned arguments, and in order to advance the prosecution of the present application, Applicants elect to prosecute claims 1 to 14 in conjunction with Species I (Figure 3).

It is understood that in the event where claim 1 is allowed as a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration to the additional species as recited in claims 15-20.

The election of claims is made without traverse.

Respectfully submitted,

JOEL P. DE SOUZA ET AL.

H. Daniel Schmurmann, Agent

Registration No. 35,791 Tel. No. (845) 894 2481